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## Outline of Talk

What is Bioengineering? Biomolecular Engineering? Bioinformatics?
\& What is a protein?
\& The folding problem and variants on it:

- Local structure prediction
- Fold recognition
- Comparative modeling
- "Ab initio" methods
- Contact prediction
$\Leftrightarrow$ Protein Design


## What is Bioengineering?

Three concentrations:
\& Biomolecular

- Drug design
- Biomolecular sensors
- Nanotechnology
- Bioinformatics
\& Rehabilitation
\& Bioelectronics


## What is Bioengineering?

Three concentrations:
\& Biomolecular
\& Rehabilitation

- Systems to held individuals with special needs
- Cell-phone-based systems to reach large numbers of people.
- Novel hardware to assist the blind
- Robotics for rehabilitation and surgery applications.
\& Bioelectronics


## What is Bioengineering?

Three concentrations:
\& Biomolecular
\& Rehabilitation
\& Bioelectronics

- Implantable devices
- Interfacing between organisms and electronics
- Artificial retina project


## What to take early

\& Mathematics
Chemistry and then biology
\& Introductory bioengineering courses:

- BME80G, Bioethics (F)
- BME5, Intro to Biotechnology (W, S)
- CMPE80A: Universal Access: Disability, Technology, and Society (W, S)
E Declare your major immediately!!
You can always change to another one latter. Bioengineering is one of the most course-intensive majors on campus Many courses have prerequisites. It's important to get advising office and faculty advise early.


## What is Biomolecular Engineering?

Engineering with, of, or for biomolecules. For example,
with: using proteins (or DNA, RNA, ...) as sensors or for self-assembly.
of: protein engineering-designing or artificially evolving proteins to have particular functions
for: designing high-throughput experimental methods to find out what molecules are present, how they are structured, and how they interact.

## What is Bioinformatics?

Bioinformatics: using computers and statistics to make sense out of the mountains of data produced by high-throughput experiments.
\& Genomics: finding important sequences in the genome and annotating them.
E Phylogenetics: "tree of life".
\& Systems biology: piecing together various control networks.
\& DNA microarrays: what genes are turned on under what conditions.
\& Proteomics: what proteins are present in a mixture.
Protein structure prediction.

## What is a protein?

\& There are many abstractions of a protein: a band on a gel, a string of letters, a mass spectrum, a set of 3D coordinates of atoms, a point in an interaction graph, ... .
\& For us, a protein is a long skinny molecule (like a string of letter beads) that folds up consistently into a particular intricate shape.
E The individual "beads" are amino acids, which have 6 atoms the same in each "bead" (the backbone atoms: N , H, CA, HA, C, O).
The final shape is different for different proteins and is essential to the function.
\& The protein shapes are important, but are expensive to determine experimentally.

## Folding Problem

The Folding Problem:
If we are given a sequence of amino acids (the letters on a string of beads), can we predict how it folds up in 3-space?


## Fold-recognition problem

The Fold-recognition Problem:
Given a sequence of amino acids $A$ (the target sequence) and a library of proteins with known 3-D structures (the template library),
figure out which templates $A$ match best, and align the target to the templates.
\& The backbone for the target sequence is predicted to be very similar to the backbone of the chosen template.

## New-fold prediction

What if there is no template we can use?
We can try to generate many conformations of the protein backbone and try to recognize the most protein-like of them.
\& Search space is huge, so we need a good conformation generator and a cheap cost function to evaluate conformations.

## Secondary structure Prediction

\& Instead of predicting the entire structure, we can predict local properties of the structure.
What local properties do we choose?
\& We want properties that are well-conserved through evolution, easily predicted, and useful for finding and aligning templates.
\& One popular choice is a 3-valued helix/strand/other alphabet-we have investigated many others. Typically, predictors get about $80 \%$ accuracy on 3-state prediction.
Many machine-learning methods have been applied to this problem, but the most successful is neural networks.

## Contact prediction

\& Use mutual information between columns.
\& Thin alignments aggressively (30\%, 35\%, 40\%, 50\%, 62\%).
\& Compute e-value for mutual info (correcting for small-sample effects).
\& Compute rank of log(e-value) within protein.
Feed log(e-values), log rank, contact potential, joint entropy, and separation along chain for pair, and amino-acid profile, predicted burial, and predicted secondary structure for each residue of pair into a neural net.

## (Rational) Protein Design

\& New direction for lab.
\& Use local-structure neural nets in reverse (find sequences highly predicted to have right local structure).
\& Use undertaker to build models.
\& Use RosettaDesign to modify sequences.
Target application: specific binding of carbon nanotubes.

## Sequence logos (MSA)

## Summarize multiple alignment:

nostruct-align/3chy.t2k w0.5


## Sequence logos (NN)

## Summarize local structure prediction:

nostruct-align/3chy.t2k EBGHTL


## Fold recognition results



## Contact prediction results



Weighted-accuracy of contact prediction, by protein


## CASP Competition Experiment

Everything published in literature "works"
CASP set up as true blind test of prediction methods.
$\leftrightarrow$ Sequences of proteins about to be solved released to prediction community.

Eredictions registered with organizers.
E Experimental structures compared with solution by assessors.

E "Winners" get papers in Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics.

## T0298 domain 2 (130-315)

RMSD $=2.468 \AA$ all-atom, $1.7567 \AA C_{\alpha}$, GDT=82.5\% best model 1 submitted to CASP7 (red=real)


## Comparative modeling: T0348

RMSD $=11.8 \AA C_{\alpha}$, GDT=58.2\% (cartoon=real) best model 1 by CASP7 GDT, Robetta1 slightly better.

## Target T0201 (NF, CASP6)

We tried forcing various sheet topologies and selected 4 by hand.

E Model 1 has right topology (5.912Å all-atom, 5.219Å $C_{\alpha}$ ).
\& Unconstrained cost function not good at choosing topology (two strands curled into helices).
\& Helices were too short.

## Target T0201 (NF, CASP6)



## Target T0230 (FR/A, CASP6)

E Good except for C-terminal loop and helix flopped wrong way.
\& We have secondary structure right, including phase of beta strands.
\& Contact prediction helped, but we put too much weight on it-decoys fit predictions better than real structure does.

## Target T0230 (FR/A, CASP6)



## Target T0230 (FR/A)

Real structure with contact predictions:


## Web sites

These slides:

```
http://www.soe.ucsc.edu/~karplus/papers/what-lab-does-jul-2008.pdf
```

CASP6 and CASP7-all our results and working notes:

```
http://www.soe.ucsc.edu/~karplus/casp6/
http://www.soe.ucsc.edu/~karplus/casp7/
```


## SAM-T06 prediction server:

http://www.soe.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/SAM_T06/T06-query.html
Predictions for all yeast proteins:
http://www.soe.ucsc.edu/~karplus/yeast/
UCSC bioinformatics (research and degree programs) info:

http://www.soe.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/

