Using Hidden Markov Models to Recognize Protein Folds

Kevin Karplus

University of California, Santa Cruz



Supported in part by NSF grant DBI-9808007, DOE grant DE-FG03-99ER62849, and NSF grant EIA-9905322





- Fold-recognition
- Scoring (Bayesian statistical modeling)
- SAM-T99 and SAM-T2K methods
- Multi-track HMMs and secondary structure
- Reverse-sequence null model
- Results





Folding Problem

The *Folding Problem*: Given a protein expressed as a string *A* over the alphabet of 20 amino acids $(A \in \{a, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, v, w, y\}^*),$

figure out how it folds up in 3-space.

MTMSRRNTDA ITIHSILDWI EDNLESPLSL EKVSERSGYS KWHLQRMFKK ETGHSLGQYI RSRKMTEIAQ KLKESNEPIL YLAERYGFES QQTLTRTFKN YFDVPPHKYR MTNMQGESRF LHPLNHYNS







The Fold-recognition Problem:

Given a protein expressed as a string *A* over the alphabet of amino acids (the *target* sequence) and a library of proteins with known 3-D structures (the *template* library), figure out which template(s) *A* matches best, and align the target to the template.

- The backbone for the target sequence is predicted to be very similar to the backbone of the chosen template.
- A quality measure is needed to decide when the best-matching template is still not a good match.





The *Homology Problem*:

Given a protein expressed as a string A over the alphabet of amino acids (the *target* sequence), and a library of protein *sequences*,

figure out which sequences A is similar to and align them to A.

- This problem is fairly easy for recently diverged, very similar sequences, but difficult for more remote relationships.
- No structure information is used, just sequence information.
- Technically, "homology" means that the sequences evolved from the same ancestral sequence—but this is almost always inferred from similarity of sequence, structure, or function, and not directly known.





- A model M is a computable function that assigns a probability $Prob(A \mid M)$ to each string A.
- When given a string A, we want to know how likely the model is. That is, we want to compute something like $Prob(M \mid A)$.
- Bayes Rule:

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(M\mid A\right)=\operatorname{Prob}\left(A\mid M\right)\frac{\operatorname{Prob}(M)}{\operatorname{Prob}(A)}\,.$$

 \bullet $\mbox{Problem:}\ \mbox{Prob}(A)$ and $\mbox{Prob}(M)$ are inherently unknowable.



• Standard solution: ask how much more likely M is than some *null hypothesis* (represented by a *null model*).

$$\frac{\operatorname{Prob}\left(M \mid A\right)}{\operatorname{Prob}\left(N \mid A\right)} = \frac{\operatorname{Prob}\left(A \mid M\right)}{\operatorname{Prob}\left(A \mid N\right)} \frac{\operatorname{Prob}(M)}{\operatorname{Prob}(N)}$$

- $\frac{\operatorname{Prob}(M)}{\operatorname{Prob}(N)}$ is the *prior odds ratio*, and represents our belief in the likelihood of the model before seeing any data.
- $\frac{\operatorname{Prob}(M|A)}{\operatorname{Prob}(N|A)}$ is the *posterior odds ratio*, and represents our belief in the likelihood of the model after seeing the data.
- We can generalize to a forced choice among many models (M_1, \ldots, M_n)

$\operatorname{Prob}\left(M_{i} \mid A\right)$	Prob $(A \mid M_i)$ Prob (M_i)
$\overline{\Sigma_j \operatorname{Prob}\left(M_j \mid A\right)} =$	$\overline{\Sigma_j \operatorname{Prob}\left(A \mid M_j\right) \operatorname{Prob}(M_j)}$

The $Prob(M_j)$ values can be scaled arbitrarily without affecting the ratio.



• Null model is a zero-order Markov model, that is, each letter is treated as being independently drawn from the same distribution.

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(A \mid N, \operatorname{len}\left(A\right)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\operatorname{len}(A)} \operatorname{Prob}(A_i) .$$

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(A \mid N\right) = \operatorname{Prob}(\operatorname{string of length} \operatorname{len}\left(A\right)) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{\operatorname{len}(A)} \operatorname{Prob}(A_i) .$$

• The length modeling is often omitted, but one must be careful then to normalize the probabilities correctly.





- Find probable homologs of target sequence and make multiple alignment.
- Make secondary structure probability predictions based on multiple alignment.
- Build an HMM based on the multiple alignment and predicted 2ry structure (or just on multiple alignment).
- Score sequences and secondary structure sequences for all proteins that have known structure.
- Select the best-scoring sequence(s) to use as templates.
- If the modeling method is well-chosen, the alignment of the target and template is available as a by-product of the scoring.





- Build a model for each protein in the template library, based on the template sequence (and any homologs you can find). The template library is selected as a subset of the PDB database of publicly released solved structures.
- For the fold-recognition problem, structure information can be used in building these models (though we currently don't).
- Score target sequence with all models in the library.
- Select the best-scoring model(s) to use as templates.
- Again, the alignment of the target and template may be available as a by-product of the scoring.







- Choose (somehow) the alignment based on the target model or the alignment based on the template model.
- This method for fold-recognition is available (with only amino-acid target HMMs, not 2-track target HMMs) on http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/hmm-apps/.
- The library currently has 5473 templates.





- A *hidden Markov Model* (HMM) is a finite-state machine with a probability for emitting each letter in each state, and with probabilities for making each transition between states.
- Probabilities of letters sum to one for each state.
- Probabilities of transitions out of each state sum to one for that state.
- We also include *null states* that emit no letters, but have transition probabilities on their out-edges.







- Circles are null states.
- Squares are *match states*, each of which is paired with a null *delete state*. We call the match-delete pair a *fat state*.
- Each fat state is visited exactly once on every path from Start to End.
- Diamonds are *insert states*, and are used to represent possible extra amino acids that are not found in most of the sequences in the family being modeled.



Overview of method for building a target HMM, given a single sequence (or a seed alignment):

- 1. Construct a profile HMM with one fat state for each letter of sequence (or column of multiple alignment).
- 2. Find sequences in a large database of protein sequences that score well with M. This is the *training set*.
- 3. Retrain M (using forward-backward algorithm) to re-estimate all probabilites, based on the training set.
- 4. Make a multiple alignment (using Viterbi algorithm) of all sequences in the training set. The multiple alignment has one alignment column for each fat state of the HMM.
- 5. Repeat from step 1, with thresholds in step 2 loosened.





- Do weighting of sequences to reduce the effect of biased sampling in the database.
- Compute Prob $(a \mid s_i)$ for match states using a Dirichlet mixture regularizer and the weighted counts of the amino acids from the corresponding alignment column.
- Instead of background frequency, or normalizing the relatively few insertion counts, set insertion-state emission probabilities by normalizing the geometric mean of match state frequencies.
- Set transition probabilities based on weighted counts of insertions and deletions in the alignment, plus large pseudocounts based on transitions in many different alignments.



Multi-track HMMs and secondary structure

We can also use alignments built using a two-track target HMM:

- Amino-acid track (created with script w0.5 from the SAM-T2K multiple alignment).
- Secondary-structure track (probabilities of $\{E, H, L\}$ or $\{E, B, G, H, T, L\}$ from neural net). The correct letters are defined by STRIDE.
- Can align template (AA+2ry) to target model.
- Don't have good way to create template models, nor to align targets to template models.





For 3-state prediction, neural net is 4-layer:

- 3 hidden layers of 10, 10, 6 units window size 7,11,11
- output layer of 3 units, window size 5.
- trained on SAM-T2K alignments with STRIDE assignment.
- Approximate accuracy $Q_3 = 0.786$, bits/char=0.798 for 3-state prediction. This is among the best predictors in the world (others of comparable quality are PsiPred and J-Pred).

For 6-state prediction, neural net is 4-layer:

- 3 hidden layers of 10, 10, 7 units window size 7,11,11
- output layer of 6 units, window size 7.
- trained on 2752 x-ray structures, SAM-T2K alignments with STRIDE assignment.
- Approximate accuracy $Q_6 = 0.6836$, bits/char=0.968 for 6-state prediction.
- The extra information from 6-state prediction does help (slightly) in fold recognition.



- Alignments that scored well examined in 3D, marking aligned residues and identical residues.
- Look for compactness, clustering of identical residues, striping of identical residues across beta sheets, disulphide bridges, ...
- Tweak alignments to improve placement of gaps.



- When using the standard null model, certain sequences and HMMs have anomalous behavior. Many of the problems are due to unusual composition—a large number of some usually rare amino acid.
- For example, metallothionein, with 24 cysteines in only 61 total amino acids, scores well on any model with multiple highly conserved cysteines.
- We avoid this (and several other problems) by using a reversed model M^r as the null model.
- The probability of a sequence in M^r is exactly the same as the probability of the reversal of the sequence given M.
- If we assume that M and M^r are equally likely, then

 $\frac{\operatorname{Prob}\left(M \mid S\right)}{\operatorname{Prob}\left(M^r \mid S\right)} = \frac{\operatorname{Prob}\left(S \mid M\right)}{\operatorname{Prob}\left(S \mid M^r\right)} \, .$

• This method corrects for composition biases, length biases, and several subtler biases.



A cysteine-rich protein, such as metallothionein, can match any HMM that has several highly-conserved cysteines, even if they have quite different structures:

		cost in nats		
		model –	model –	
HMM	sequence	standard null	reversed-model	
1kst	4mt2	-21.15	0.01	
1kst	1tabI	-15.04	-0.93	
4mt2	1kst	-15.14	-0.10	
4mt2	1tabI	-21.44	-1.44	
1tabI	1kst	-17.79	-7.72	
1tabI	4mt2	-19.63	-1.79	







Kistrin (1kst)





Trypsin-binding domain of Bowman-Birk Inhibitor (1tabI)







Long helices can provide strong similarity signals from the periodic hydrophobicity, even when the overall folds are quite different:

		cost in nats, normalized using	
HMM	sequence	Null model	reversed-model
1av1A	2tmaA	-22.06	2.13
1av1A	1aep	-21.25	1.03
1av1A	1cii	-13.67	-1.75
1av1A	1vsgA	-7.89	-0.51
2tmaA	1cii	-20.62	0.46
2tmaA	1av1A	-17.96	1.01
2tmaA	1aep	-12.01	0.78
2tmaA	1vsgA	-8.25	0.08
1vsgA	2tmaA	-14.82	-1.20
1vsgA	1av1A	-13.04	-2.68
1vsgA	1aep	-13.02	-3.52
1vsgA	1cii	-11.12	0.28
1aep	1av1A	-11.30	1.79
1aep	2tmaA	-10.73	1.06
1aep	1cii	-8.35	1.38
1aep	1vsgA	-6.87	0.53
1cii	2tmaA	-23.24	-1.48
1cii	1av1A	-19.49	-5.62
1cii	1aep	-12.85	-1.77
1cii	1vsgA	-10.20	-1.57

















SCOP whole chains









+=Same fold



- SCOP superfamily 3.31.1 with strong scores—like essentially all the automatic servers.
- Best scores with 1do0A (3.31.1.12.5), rather than than 1a5t (3.31.1.12.3), 1d2nA (3.31.1.12.4), 1gky (3.31.1.1.1), or 1nipA (3.31.1.9.3).
- Preference held for both target and template alignments, and both amino-acid only and two-track.
- Second domain, residues 267-350, was better aligned than by other CASP4 predictors.
- Alignment essentially 2-track local alignment. Moved first segment over 1 residue and pulled in final helix from off the end of alignment, based on residue conservation.















Predicted=blue solved=red.







UCSC bioinformatics info: http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/ SAM tool suite info: http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/sam.html HMM servers: http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/hmm-apps/ SAM-T99 prediction server: http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/compbio/ hmm-apps/T99-query.html

These slides: http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/~karplus/papers/combio01.pdf



