November 2007 Disk Used



1

2

3

6 7

17

ARTICLE IN PRESS





computer communications

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

www.elsevier.com/locate/comcom

Modeling of topology evolutions and implication on proactive routing overhead in MANETs $\stackrel{\approx}{\sim}$

Xianren Wu^{a,*}, Hamid R. Sadjadpour^a, J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves^{a,b}

^a University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA ^b Palo Alto Research Center, 3333 Coyote Hill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA

8 Abstract

We present a mathematical framework for quantifying the impact of node mobility on the overhead of proactive routing protocols in mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs). We focus on MANETs in which nodes move randomly. The analytical model we introduce models signaling overhead as a function of stability of topology, and characterizes the statistical distribution of topology evolutions. Although we could apply our analytical framework to any proactive routing scheme, we use the OLSR protocol as an example of our model, because it is a leading example of proactive routing for ad hoc networking. We corroborate the accuracy of the results obtained analytically by means of results obtained with discrete-event simulations using the same parameters adopted in the analytical model. © 2007 Published by Elsevier B.V.

16 Keywords: Analytical models; Mobile ad hoc network; Topology evolution; Proactive routing; OLSR

18 1. Introduction

19 Mobility brings fundamental challenges to the design of protocol stacks for mobile mesh networks (MANETs). The 20 mobility of nodes implies that the routing protocols of 21 MANETs have to cope with frequent topology changes 22 while attempting to produce correct routing tables. Proac-23 24 tive routing protocols, which are the focus of this paper, provide fast response to topology changes by continuously 25 monitoring topology changes and disseminating the related 26 27 information as needed over the network. However, the price they pay is the increase in signaling overhead as the 28 topology changes increase, and this can further lead into 29 smaller packet delivery ratios and longer delays. In the 30

^{*} Corresponding author.

worst case, "broadcast-storms" [1] can result, congesting the entire network. Hence, it is essential to understand the intricate relations between routing overhead and topology changes for the design of routing protocols in MANETs.

Characterizing the impact of mobility on the performance of proactive routing protocols is a very complex problem. Consequently, the provision of such characterization has been limited to simulation-based approaches [2–6]. Few if any analytical studies have been pursued on this topic. Zhou et al. [7] gave an analytical view of routing overhead of reactive protocols, assuming static network (manhattan grid) with unreliable nodes and concludes the scalability of reactive protocols with localized traffic pattern. Topology changes resulting from node mobility was not considered in [7]. In [8], an information theoretic analysis is pursued to bound the memory requirement and overhead incurred by a hierarchical routing protocol for MANETs based on entropy rate of topology changes.

The previous work does provide a good understanding 50 of the scalability properties of the signaling of routing 51 schemes. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is 52 no previous analytical work that establishes an analytical 53

[★] This work was supported in part by the US Army Research Office under Grants W911NF-04-1-0224, W911NF-05-1-0246 and by the Baskin Chair of Computer Engineering. Opinion, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the Department of Defense.

E-mail addresses: wuxr@soe.ucsc.edu (X. Wu), hamid@soe.ucsc.edu (H.R. Sadjadpour), jj@soe.ucsc.edu (J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves).

115

116 117

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

154

2

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

54 connection between routing overhead and topology 55 changes due to mobility. Moreover, the past work has 56 not even characterized topology changes as a function of 57 node mobility, which is crucial to make the connection 58 we seek.

In this paper, we provide the first analytical framework 59 60 for the modeling of proactive routing overhead as a function of node mobility. In so doing, we model topology 61 62 changes explicitly as a function of node mobility. Section 2 summarizes the network model used in our analysis 63 and formulates the problem to be solved. Section 3 explains 64 the general framework for the modeling of proactive rout-65 ing overhead. Section 4 discusses properties of the topology 66 of a MANET and factors that affect its stability. Section 5 67 explains our analytical model. Clearly, our results comple-68 ment previous information theoretic analysis [8] by provid-69 ing entropy rate and a model of topology changes. 70

71 Because of its practical importance, Section 6 applies our general framework to the analysis of the optimized link 72 state routing protocol (OLSR) [9]. Our analysis of OLSR 73 provides a better insight on its operation, and corroborates 74 75 the effectiveness of our modeling framework. We compare 76 our analytical results against Qualnet simulations based on scenarios assuming random node mobility. The results 77 illustrate the accuracy of our analytical framework. Section 78 7 concludes this paper. 79

80 2. System model and problem statement

We consider a network operating in a square area, which is consistent with several prior analytical models [10-12]. The entire network is of size $L \times L$ and there are n nodes initially randomly deployed in such a "square network." Note that, although we consider a square network in the paper, our analysis can be extended to networks of any shape in a straightforward way.

Nodes are mobile and initially equally distributed over 88 89 the network. The movement of each node is independent 90 and unrestricted, i.e., the trajectories of nodes can lead to anywhere in the network. For node $i \in V = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, 91 let $\{T_i(t), t \ge 0\}$ be the random process representing its tra-92 jectory and take values in D, where D denotes the domain 93 across which the given node moves. To simplify our mod-94 95 eling task, we make the following assumption on the trajectory processes. 96

97 Assumption 1 (Stationarity). Each of the trajectory processes $(T_i(t))$ is stationary, i.e., the spacial node distribution 98 reaches its steady-state distribution irrespective of the 99 initial location. The N trajectory processes are *jointly* 100 stationary, i.e., the whole network eventually reaches the 101 102 same steady state from any initial node placements, within which the statistical spatial nodes' distribution of the 103 network remains the same over time. 104

The above assumption is quite fundamental in the sense that it lays the foundation for the modeling of node movement. Most existing models, (e.g., random direction mobility models [13–17], random waypoint mobility models 108 [18,19] and random trip mobility model [20]) clearly satisfy 109 our assumption. In other words, our assumption ensures 110 that, on the long run, the network converges to its 111 steady-state and the stationary spatial nodes' distribution 112 can be used in the performance analysis of the network. 113

The availability of communication links (e.g., from node *i* to node *j*) is governed by the Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise Ratio (SINR) protocol model as,

$$\frac{P_i(t)g_{ij}(t)}{N_0 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}_i(t), k \neq i} P_k(t)g_{kj}(t)} \ge \beta \tag{1}$$

where $P_i(t)$ denotes the transmitting power of node *i* at time *t*, 120 $A_s(t)$ is the set of active nodes transmitting at time t, N_0 de-121 notes the thermal noise and β is the minimum SINR for 122 the receiver to successfully decode data packets. The channel 123 gain from node k to node l at time t is represented by $g_{kl}(t)$, 124 which captures path loss, fading and shadowing effects in 125 the wireless environment. Eq. (1) simply states the physical 126 requirement of the existence of a directional link from node 127 *i* to node *j* at time *t*. Given that many routing algorithms re-128 quire bi-directional links, we expect the SINR law to be sat-129 isfied for the reverse link, e.g., $j \rightarrow i$. We simply call a bi-130 directional link as a link throughout this paper. 131

The topology (or connectivity graph) $\mathcal{G}(t)$ of the network at time t can be obtained by replacing the available wireless links with lines connecting the corresponding node pairs. We use the terms topology and connectivity graph interchangeably.

Given the above terminology and assumptions, we seek answers to the following questions:

- Is there an analytical model to statistically characterize 139 the distribution of topology changes in MANETs? If 140 so, are we able to derive the associated parameters 141 analytically? 142
- If there is such a model, are we able to apply the model to analyze the effect of mobility on the control overhead of proactive routing protocols? Or mathematically, could we find the function \mathcal{F} that projects the control overhead \mathcal{O}_d in MANETs given that we know the node mobility \mathcal{V} and the control overhead \mathcal{O}_s incurred by the protocol in a static topology?

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{O}_s \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{O}_d \tag{2} 153$$

3. Proactive routing overhead in dynamic graphs

A routing protocol operates on the connectivity graph (topology) \mathcal{G} of a MANET. Let $\vec{\mathcal{G}} = \{\mathcal{G}_i\}$ be the set of all possible connectivity graphs of the MANET. In steadystate, the connectivity graph $\mathcal{G}(t)$ travels across all such graphs with a stable distribution vector $\vec{p} = \{p_i\}$ derived from the stationary spatial nodes' distribution.

A change that occurs in the connectivity of the MANET 161 induces the transition from a connectivity graph of the 162

163 MANET to another connectivity graph. For simplicity, in 164 the rest of this paper, we refer to the transition from one 165 connectivity graph to another as a *topology evolution*.

166 If we look at the connectivity graph from the standpoint 167 of single node, a topology evolution can be triggered by 168 changes in its immediate neighborhood or by updates 169 received from its neighbors. If we observe the protocol 170 behavior at a typical active node k, we can derive from $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$ 171 the set of all possible local connectivity graphs $\vec{\mathcal{G}}^k = {\mathcal{G}_i^k}$ 172 with the corresponding distribution vector $\vec{p}^k = {p_i^k}$.

173 As Fig. 1 illustrates, we assume that when there is no 174 change in topology, nodes periodically broadcast topology 175 control (TC) messages at regular interval T_c . For this case, 176 the average TC messages per active node in static scenarios 177 \mathcal{O}_s is simply

179
$$P(\mathcal{O}_s) = P(\mathcal{G}_i^k) = 1/T_c, \quad \forall i$$
(3)

180 If we assume that a topology change happens at time $t_{i}KT_c \le t_i \le (K+1)T_c$, it induces the transition of the local 181 connectivity graph from \mathcal{G}_i^k to \mathcal{G}_i^k . The routing protocol 182 reacts to the change by advancing the TC message broad-183 cast at some time $t_i^*, KT_c < t_i^* \leq (K+1)T_c$, rather than 184 broadcasting at the next planned time $(K+1)T_c$. The sub-185 186 sequent TC message broadcast will perform regularly with graph \mathcal{G}_{i}^{k} . In this case, compared to the static scenario 187 where no change occurs, the increase $\gamma_i(t)$ in generated 188 TC message associated with \mathcal{G}_i^k can be computed as follows: 189 190

192
$$\gamma_i(t_i) = \frac{(K+1)}{t_i^*} / \frac{K+1}{(K+1)T_c} = \frac{\lceil t_i^*/T_c \rceil}{t_i^*/T_c}$$
(4)

193 where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the ceiling operator.

194 The average increase γ_i in generated TC messages in the 195 graph \mathcal{G}_i^k can be computed as

197
$$\gamma_i = E_{t_i} \left(\frac{\left[t_i^* / T_c \right]}{t_i^* / T_c} \right)$$
(5)

198 Statistically, γ_i measures the normalized transition cost 199 for \mathcal{G}_i^k and t_i^* is determined by the t_i that captures the sta-200 bility of the local topology \mathcal{G}_i^k . Summing over all possible 201 topologies, we can estimate the average number of gener-202 ated TC message per active node as

$$P = \sum_{\forall i} p_i^k P(\mathcal{G}_i^k) * \gamma_i \tag{6}$$



Fig. 1. Protocol behaviors with local connectivity graphs.

As we will see in Section 5, if we are only concerned with 205 nodal mobility and given that nodes are moving randomly 206 and independently of one another, we could assume that 207 link changes arrive independently and $\{t_i\}$ are of identical 208 statistical distributions, being a renewal process. We have 209 then 210

$$P = \gamma \times \sum_{\forall i} p_i^k P(\mathcal{G}_i^k) \tag{7}$$

$$\gamma = E\left(\frac{\left\lceil \zeta^*/T_c \right\rceil}{\zeta^*/T_c}\right) \tag{8}$$

where ζ^* is decided on ζ and ζ is the observed stability of the local connectivity graph per active node. γ is the *penalty factor* that measures the cost in graph transitions for an active node and as we will see later, it is a function of nodal mobility and stability of the local connectivity graph. Furthermore, a closer look at Eq. (8) shows that the increased traffic overhead can be estimated from the average performance of static graphs, which is exactly the right term in the equation.

In a homogeneous network, every node in the network operates in a similar way. Therefore, we can expect similar results on the whole network. Hence, we propose a model that estimates the control traffic overhead from the knowledge of the mean overhead O_s that occurs in static scenarios. Mathematically, we can write it as the tentative answer for the question raised in Section 2 as:

We could have a function \mathcal{F} that projects the control overhead $P(\mathcal{O}_d)$ in MANETs with the knowledge of mobility \mathcal{V} and control overhead $P(\mathcal{O}_s)$ of protocol at static scenarios. And the function can be written as,

$$\mathcal{F}: P(\mathcal{O}_d) = \gamma(\mathcal{V}) * P(\mathcal{O}_s) \tag{9} 234$$

However, we need to know the distribution of topology evolutions (t_i in Eq. (4) for the computation of mobility effect on proactive routing overhead. To obtain such a model, we will first discuss factors that affect the stability of topology and then propose analytical model for topology evolution.

4. Topology: factors for changes

Due to node mobility and the surrounding parallel transmissions, links between nodes are set up and broken dynamically. We introduce a $\{0,1\}$ -valued on-off process $f_{ij}(t), t \ge 0$ to model such link changes as $f_{ij}(t) = 1$ (or $f_{ij}(t) = 0$) if the unidirectional link from node *i* to node *j*, is available (or unavailable) at time $t \ge 0$. Clearly, we have $f_{ij}(t) = f_{ji}(t)$ because we only consider bi-directional links.

If we map every active (on) link to an edge in a graph with N vertices where each vertex stands for a node in V, we can obtain the time-varying graph (topology) $\mathcal{G}(t)$ with a time-varying set E(t) of edges as

$$E(t) := \{\{i, j\} \in V \times V, i \neq j; f_{ij}(t) = 1\}$$
(10) 255

Please cite this article in press as: X. Wu et al., Modeling of topology evolutions and implication on proactive ..., Comput. Commun. (2007), doi:10.1016/j.comcom.2007.10.023

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

4

X. Wu et al. | Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

It should be noted that $\mathcal{G}(t)$ is the connectivity graph of the network, which is an *undirected* graph, given that we consider bi-directional links. Let *E* be the complete set of possible links in the graph, i.e.,

261
$$E := \{\{i, j\} \in V \times V, i \neq j\}$$
 (11)

262 The complementary set $E^{c}(t)$ of E(t) can be computed as

264
$$E^{c}(t) = E - E(t)$$
 (12)

Each link change, such as new link formation or break-265 age of existing links, results in a change in the connectivity 266 graph and could further result in a protocol event in the 267 network to distribute such change. Let τ be the moment 268 269 that the connectivity graph $\mathcal{G}(t)$ changes at time $t + \tau$ from 270 its last change at time t. Clearly, τ is the random variable describing the duration of stability of the connectivity 271 graph $\mathcal{G}(t)$. In general, there are two different scenarios 272 responsible for changes of $\mathcal{G}(t)$. One is the creation or arri-273 274 val of new link. Let τ_{a} be the random variable capturing the time duration of such new link arrivals or addition of new 275 edges in $\mathcal{G}(t)$. Similarly, we have another random variable 276 τ_f characterizing the breakage of existing links or deletions 277 of edges in $\mathcal{G}(t)$. We will have 278

$$\tau = \min\{\tau_o, \tau_f\} \tag{13}$$

281 Our objective is first to identify the factors that affect the 282 stability τ of the connectivity graph $\mathcal{G}(t)$ and then find the 283 analytical model that characterizes the statistical distribu-284 tion of τ .

285 4.2. Factors in connectivity graph

It is apparent from Eq. (1) that the availability of links 286 depends on the wireless environment (captured in channel 287 gain $g_{kl}(t)$ and also on the traffic and MAC schemes, which 288 together decide the active set of transmitting nodes $A_s(t)$. If 289 290 we do not explicitly model the shadowing effect and short-291 term channel variations such as channel fading between nodes, it is reasonable to assume that the channel gain 292 can be computed according to the exponential attenuation 293 model, that is, 294 295

$$297 g = r^{-\alpha} (14)$$

where *r* denotes the Euclidean distance between two communicating nodes and α is the exponential attenuation coefficient, normally ranging from 2 to 5 with various wireless environments.

By introducing a dynamic and sometimes intractable active set $A_s(t)$, the involvement of traffic and MAC schemes significantly complicates the problem with a dynamic varying interference term. We call such a term *environmental mobility*, which results from surrounding traffics and parallel transmissions.

When the MAC protocol schedules transmissions perfectly, multiple access interference is negligible compared to the noise and can be considered zero, i.e., no environmental mobility. In such case, the deciding factors for link availability lies in the transmission power and radio propagation loss and it can be expressed as

$$\frac{P_i(t)g_{ij}(t)}{N_0} \ge \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P_j(t)g_{ji}(t)}{N_0} \ge \beta \tag{15}$$

If all nodes transmit with a uniform power, given Eq. 317 (14), the link between two nodes becomes available as soon 318 as they are within communication range of each other, i.e., 319 their Euclidean distance is smaller than the maximum radio 320 coverage R for a transmitting node. Under these assump-321 tions, the availability of links is purely a function of the rel-322 ative distances between nodes, which in turn are 323 determined by nodal mobility. 324

Thus far, we have identified two factors affecting the connectivity graph, *environmental mobility* and *nodal mobility*. However, the defining feature of MANETs is *nodal mobility*, which is a natural result from nodal movements. Accordingly, given that no analytical models exist for topology evolutions resulting from *nodal mobility* in MAN-ETs, this is the focus of the model we describe next. 328

5. Modeling nodal mobility

Nodal motion changes the distances among nodes, and 333 therefore results in the dynamic establishment and termina-334 tion of links. Compared to the SINR law in Eq. (1), links 335 defined by Eq. (15) are longer and exist for the maximum 336 possible duration of link availability if only the effects of 337 mobility are considered. In practice, the offered traffic 338 and the scheduling of packets provided by the MAC proto-339 col renders a smaller utilization of links. Hence, the link 340 utilization under a real MAC protocol is smaller than the 341 one predicted by Eq. (15). 342

For each link in set E(t), let $T_{ij}^o(t)$ denote the residual 343 lifetime of the link after time t, i.e., $T_{ii}^{o}(t)$ is the amount 344 of the time that elapses from time *t* until link is unavailable. 345 Correspondingly, for each link in set $E^{c}(t)$, $T_{ii}^{f}(t)$ be the 346 *residual* silence time of link after time t, i.e., $T_{ii}^{t}(t)$ is the 347 amount of time elapsed from time t until a link is available. 348 Due to the underlying stationarity implied from the joint 349 stationarity of trajectory processes, it suffices to consider 350 only the case t = 0 and we can simply drop the time param-351 eter t. Hence, $T_{ii}^o = T_{ii}^o(t)$. Clearly, we have 352

$$\tau_o = \min\{T_{ij}^o \text{ of link } \{i,j\}, \forall\{i,j\} \in E(t)\}$$

$$(16)$$

$$\tau_f = \min\{T_{ij}^{j} \text{ of link } \{i, j\}, \forall\{i, j\} \in E^c(t)\}$$
(17) 354

For each link $\{i, j\}$, the associated link availability pro-355 cess $f_{ii}(t)$, where $t \ge 0$, is simply an on-off process with suc-356 cessive up and down states with associated time durations, 357 denoted by random variables $f_{ii}(k)$; k = 1, 2, ... and $f_{ii}(k)$; 358 k = 1, 2, ..., respectively. Such a processes can also be 359 obtained from nodes' relative trajectories. When only 360 nodal mobility is considered as the variable of interest, 361 according to Eq. (15), a link between nodes i and j in V 362 is available at time $t \ge 0$ if and only if their distance is 363 smaller than R. As a result, the link availability is given by 364

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

5

430

456

457

458

459

460

461

462 464

465

466

467

468

$$f_{ii}(t) := \mathbf{1}[\|T_i(t) - T_i(t)\| \le R]; t \ge 0,$$
(18) new lin

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean operator to compute the distance.

369 Let $Z(t) = \sum_{\forall \{i,j\}} f_{ij}(t)$ and it is clear that Z(t) is a renewal process comprised from a total number of |E|370 on-off link availability processes, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the cardinal-371 ity operator. Clearly, τ describes the refreshing interval, τ_{a} 372 373 specifies the interval between upward renewals and τ_f denotes the interval between downward renewals of the 374 renewal process Z(t). By applying the well-known results 375 from renewal processes and independent on-off processes 376 377 in equilibrium [21], we have following theorem on τ .

Theorem 1. [Stability Model] When sets E(t) and $E^{c}(t)$ involve a sufficient number of links and all such links are assumed to be independent, the distribution of τ_{o} and τ_{f} can be approximated by the exponential distribution with parameter λ_{o} and λ_{f} . And the distribution of stability τ of the connectivity graph is also exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda = \lambda_{o} + \lambda_{f}$. Therefore,

$$P(\tau_o \leqslant t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_o t} \tag{19}$$

$$P(\tau_f \leqslant t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_f t} \tag{20}$$

386
$$P(\tau \le t) = 1 - e^{\lambda t} = 1 - e^{-(\lambda_o + \lambda_f)t}$$
 (21)

The above result is also known as Palm's theorem [21]. It states that the distribution of a superposition of N_r i.i.d. random variables converges to the exponential distribution as N_r approaches infinity. This result can be generalized to incorporate cases of independent but nonhomogeneous motions, where some nodes may follow different mobility models from others.

The independence assumption for links, and the appli-394 cation of Palm's theorem, can be questioned in MAN-395 ETs, because of the broadcast nature of their links. 396 However, if the movement of nodes satisfies some mixing 397 conditions known as m-dependence [22], the statement in 398 Theorem 1 still holds. Such relaxed conditions introduce 399 400 a form of asymptotic independence as the hop distance between links increases, while allowing dependence in 401 402 neighborhoods. Specifically, *m-dependence* means that the correlation between links decreases as the hop dis-403 tance between links increases and links can be assumed 404 405 to be independent when the hop distance between links is greater than a given value m. Fortunately, most mobil-406 ity models used to study MANETs fall in this category 407 (e.g., the random waypoint mobility model, random 408 409 direction mobility model and random trip mobility model) and our results can be applied to a wide-variety 410 411 of scenarios.

412 5.1. Relations between λ_o and λ_f

413 We have observed that the new link formation process 414 and link breakage process can be approximated by Poisson 415 process with parameters λ_f and λ_o , respectively. For the new link formation process (or the link breakage process), 416 λ_f (or λ_o) characterizes the average number of new link 417 arrivals (or link breakages). Let us consider a time window 418 *T* that is sufficiently large. The number of new link arrivals 419 N_a and link breakages N_b within the time window can be 420 approximated by 421

$$N_a = \lambda_f * T \tag{22}$$

$$N_b = \lambda_o * T \tag{23}$$

For a network with a finite number of nodes that is observed for an infinite length of time, the difference of the number of new link arrivals and link breakages can be denoted by 427

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} (N_a - N_b) = \lim_{T \to \infty} T * (\lambda_f - \lambda_o).$$
(24) 429

Clearly, the only choice is

$$\lambda_f = \lambda_o. \tag{25} \quad 432$$

This indicates that, on the long run, the new link arrival433process should be balanced off by the link breakage pro-
cess. Otherwise, it contradicts the fact that the network434only involves a finite number of nodes.436

5.2. Analytical evaluation of λ_f or λ_o 437

If we know the parameter for the link breakage or link 438 creation process, we can infer the other one. The link 439 breakage process is characterized by the distribution of 440 residual link life time, a direct evaluation of which requires 441 exact knowledge of the underlying mobility characteristics. 442 However, we can make general statements on the underly-443 ing new link formation process, resorting to the exponen-444 tial modeling with parameter λ_l of point-to-point link 445 formation in [23], as described in Appendix A. 446

For a particular connectivity graph G_i with associated sets E_i and E_i^c , there is a total number of $|E_i^c|$ potential point-to-point links that can be created. Because the time distribution of new link formation can be modeled as exponentially distributed with parameter λ_b , the stability for this particular connectivity graph can be measured with parameter 453

$$\lambda_f(\mathcal{G}_i) = |E_i^c| * \lambda_l \tag{26}$$

When a network is running in steady-state and inferring from the joint stationarity assumption of underlying trajectory processes, $\mathcal{G}(t)$ is a stationary and ergodic process that will experience all possible connectivity graphs with an associated probability vector derived from the steady-state nodes' distribution. By averaging all possible graphs, we can compute the parameter λ_f as

$$\lambda_f = E(|E_i^c|) * \lambda_l \tag{27}$$

where $E(\cdot)$ stands for expected value.

A general model of MANETs in steady-state exists and is known as a *random geometric graph* [24]. This model has been widely adopted in analytical works of MANETs and

47

485

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

469 considered as an improvement over the model of *random* 470 graph in static networks. Using the model of *random geo-*471 *metric graph*, we can compute λ_f as

$$473 \qquad \lambda_f = \overline{N}_f * \lambda_l \tag{28}$$

where \overline{N}_f is the average number of potential link pairs and it can be computed as

$$_{7} \qquad \overline{N}_{f} = \frac{N * (N-1)}{2} * \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)$$
(29)

478 We thus arrive to the following theorem on the distribution 479 of the stability τ of the connectivity graph.

Theorem 2 (Analytical Stability Model). *The distribution of stability* τ *of the connectivity graph in MANETs can be approximated as exponentially distributed with parameter* λ *and the parameter* λ *is given by*

$$\lambda = N * (N-1) * \left(1 - \frac{\pi R^2}{L^2}\right)$$

$$* 2E[V_*]R \int_0^L \int_0^L \pi^2(x, y) dx dy_{\lambda_l}$$
(30)

where $\pi(x, y)$ denotes the steady-state spatial nodes' distribution and $E[V_*]$ is the average relative velocity.

488 5.3. Model validations

We validated our analytical model of the stability of 489 topologies by comparing its results against simulations. 490 In the scenario used for comparison, there are a total of 491 100 nodes randomly placed for each $1000 \text{ m} \times 1000 \text{ m}$ 492 493 square cell. Each node has the same transmit power and the radio transmission range considered is 250 m, that is 494 the nominal coverage of IEEE 802.11 PHY layer. Four dif-495 ferent speeds {5 m/s, 10 m/s, 15 m/s, 20 m/s} are simulated 496 for both the random waypoint mobility model (RWMM) 497 and random direction mobility model (RDMM). Nodes 498 are randomly activated to randomly choose destination 499 node for data transmission. The traffic of activated nodes 500 are supplied from a CBR source with a packet rate 0.5 p/s. 501

Figs. 2 and 3 present the results on complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) of the distribution of topology evolutions for RWMM and RDMM, respectively. It can be observed that for both cases, the exponential distribution model match pretty well with the simulation results and the analytical evaluation of the parameter also exhibits quite good approximation to the simulations.

509 6. Analyzing control traffic overhead in OLSR

From the previous sections, we already know that the distribution of stability of the connectivity graph can be approximated as exponentially distributed with parameter λ given in Theorem 2. We apply our model to project the control traffic overhead of the OLSR protocol.



Fig. 2. Distribution of stability of topologies: RWMM, R = 250 m.



Fig. 3. Distribution of stability of topologies: RDMM, R = 250 m.

515

6.1. Brief overview of OLSR

In OLSR, nodes periodically send out HELLO messages 516 to keep track of their neighbors. A HELLO message con-517 tains the one-hop neighbors of a node and status of adja-518 cent links. Upon receiving and analyzing HELLO 519 messages, nodes can compute their multipoint relays 520 (MPR). The MPR set of a node is a subset of its neighbor 521 nodes that are connected (i.e., cover) all their two-hop 522 neighbors. The node making the selection of MPRs is 523 called MPR selector. Every node could have multiple nodes 524 to select itself as a MPR node, i.e., have multiple MPR 525 selectors. Topology control (TC) messages are generated 526 periodically by nodes with non-empty sets of MPR selec-527 tors to disseminate {MPR selector, MPR} link information 528 to the whole network. In case of nodes detecting changes in 529 the set of MPR selector, TC message could be initiated ear-530 lier than the regular interval to respond to the change. 531 Node keep track of the TC messages and use such link 532 information for path selection and traffic routing. 533

7

591

592

593

594

595

596

606

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

The purpose of using MPRs in OLSR is to reduce the flooding of broadcast packets. For every node, its TC packets are retransmitted only by its MPR neighbor nodes and thus results in a saving of duplicate transmissions but still maintains satisfactory packet delivery. Clearly, the smaller the MPR set is, the more saving in the protocol.

540 A link breakage in OLSR is detected when a node fails to receive several consecutive HELLO messages from one 541 of its neighbor node. A link addition is detected when a 542 node starts to receive HELLO messages from a node not 543 in its current one-hop neighbor set. Every change in the 544 two-hop neighborhood link set will result in a protocol 545 event of the node reacting to the change by recomputing 546 its MPR set and could further result in MPR set. There-547 fore, it could lead to earlier TC message broadcast and 548 the increase in the control traffic. 549

550 6.2. Parameterizing the MPR selection algorithm

By employing MPRs in OLSR, link changes need not 551 result in a protocol event. However, the changes that hap-552 553 pen at *critical links* (i.e., {MPR selector, MPR} pairs) surely trigger a protocol event. For the reason, we need 554 555 to find a parameter that characterizes the performance of the MPR selection algorithm in OLSR, and further utilize 556 it to derive the distribution of the connectivity graph. 557 Before proceeding with choosing the appropriate perfor-558 mance metric, we need to first review the MPR selection 559 algorithm. The MPR selection algorithm works as follows: 560

- (1) Select the node within the set of one-hop neighbor
 nodes as MPR node, if among the two-hop neighbor
 nodes, there are one or more than one nodes that are
 only covered by the node.
- (2) Choose a one-hop neighbor node as MPR node, if it
 covers the most of remaining two-hop neighbor
 nodes that are not covered by nodes in the MPR
 set. Repeat the step until all two-hop neighbor nodes
 are covered by the MPR set.

570

The MPR selection algorithm is a greedy algorithm and 571 its performance varies depending on the graphs on which it 572 573 operates. Its heuristic nature, edge effects, and its graph-574 dependent performance significantly complicates the modeling problem and prevents an analytical modeling (if fea-575 576 sible) of the algorithm. For this reason, the parameter that we are looking for should reflect the statistical perfor-577 mance of the MPR algorithm and an evaluation of such 578 579 parameter could be obtained by statistical evaluation with random geometric graph model. 580

A natural choice of the parameter should be the performance metric that answers the questions how much savings the MPR selection algorithm brings in reducing the duplicate flooding packet. Let us define $Neighbor\{i\}$ as the set of onehop neighbor nodes and let $MPR\{i\}$ be the MPR set for node *i*. It is obvious that, $MPR\{i\} \subseteq Neighbor\{i\}$. Then the onehop saving β_i from MPR selection can be evaluated as



Fig. 4. Graphical illustration on change response.

$$\beta_i = \frac{|MPR\{i\}|}{|Neighbor\{i\}|} \tag{31}$$

Clearly, $0 < \beta_i \leq 1$. Eventually, we define a parameter β termed as *broadcast efficiency* to characterize the statistical performance of MPR selection algorithm. And it can be obtained through the statistical averaging over all possible nodes and graphs of the one-hop saving computed in Eq. (31).

$$\beta = E_{\mathcal{G},i}(\beta_i), 0 < \beta \leqslant 1 \tag{32}$$

The smaller β is, the more saving the MPR algorithm brings. β is also a statistical measure of the percentage of critical links ({MPR selector, MPR} pairs) out of total links in OLSR. From Section 5, we can infer that the distribution of link breakages of such links can also be approximated as exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda_c = \beta * \lambda_o$.¹

6.3. Computation of penalty factor

The only remaining problem is to compute γ as a function of nodal mobility or the stability ζ of the local connectivity graph. First, we need to look at how ζ^* is determined from ζ , i.e., to understand how OLSR reacts to an effective change means that the node detect a change in the set of MPR selectors, since OLSR operates on the sub-graph from critical links. 613

Fig. 4 illustrates how OLSR reacts to an effective 614 change. Suppose that а change arrives at 615 $KT_c < \zeta \leq (K+1)T_c$, then the next scheduled TC message 616 is advanced to be broadcasted at time ζ^* , the choice of 617 which depends on when the change actually happened. If 618 $KT_c < \zeta \leq KT_c + \Delta$, then the TC message will be broad-619

¹ It can be derived from the fact that parameters of exponential distribution of topology evolutions are linearly proportional to the number of links evaluated and β denotes the percentage of the number of MPR links out of total links.

8

653

669

X. Wu et al. | Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

 $\zeta^* = KT_c + \Delta.$ For 620 casted at other cases $KT_c + \Delta < \zeta \leq (K+1)T_c$, TC message will be broadcasted 621 immediately ($\zeta^* = \zeta$) when change is detected. The purpose 622 of having \varDelta in OLSR is to avoid the case in which changes 623 624 arrive too often and result in too much flooding from broadcasting TC messages. By aggregating such changes 625 626 during Δ period in one TC message, the protocol can limit the maximum TC message broadcast rate but still achieve 627 satisfactory performance. Summarizing the above analysis, 628 one has 629

$$\zeta^* = \begin{cases} KT_c + \Delta, & KT_c < \zeta \leqslant KT_c + \Delta \\ \zeta, & KT_c + \Delta < \zeta \leqslant (K+1)T_c \end{cases}$$
(33)

An effective change is the change that results in a change
in the set of MPR selectors. Such changes depend on the
stability of the local connectivity graph. Any changes in
the local connectivity graph could lead to a recomputation of MPR set and further results in an effective
change. We have the following itemized discussions on
changes,

A new link is detected in the local connectivity graph of node k. It will result in a MPR set recomputation of neighbors within two-hop distance of the new link. Such link may or may not lead to a change in MPR selectors of node k.

A link breakage is detected in the local connectivity graph but not in the critical links of node k. For such cases, it still leads to a recomputation of MPR set but not necessarily affect the operation of node k.

A link breakage in critical links of node k is detected and as a result, node k will detect a change in the set of MPR selectors. Such change is surely an effective change on node k and node k needs to react to the change by earlier TC message broadcast.

Due to the heuristic characteristic of MPR selection 654 algorithm, an analysis of the first two scenarios could be 655 significantly complicated (if feasible at all). Taking a con-656 servative approach, we only consider the last scenario, 657 where link breakage is detected in critical links. Because 658 we know that the stability of overall critical links can be 659 approximated by an exponential distribution with parame-660 ter λ_c , we can approximate the single node stability ζ of 661 662 critical links as also exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda_s = N * \lambda_c$. Note that such approximation becomes clo-663 ser as node density increases, i.e., nodes associated with 664 more critical links. 665

666 We can then compute the penalty factor γ as a function 667 mobility \mathcal{V} as

$$\gamma(\mathcal{V}) = E\left(\frac{\left\lceil \zeta^* / T_c \right\rceil}{\zeta^* / T_c}\right) = f(\lambda_s)$$
(34)

670 where $f(\cdot)$ denotes mapping function and can be numeri-671 cally computed after knowing the parameter λ_s of ζ (or 672 ζ^*). It is also worthy of noting that the penalty factor is a direct function of local connectivity graph and suggests 673 that the stability of connectivity graph can greatly affect 674 the protocol performance. 675

6.4. Simulation results

In the simulation, the area of the network is a 677 $1000 \text{ m} \times 1000 \text{ m}$ square cell. Each node has the same 678 transmit power and the radio transmission range consid-679 ered is 250 m. The number of nodes changes in the set 680 {40, 60, 80, 100} to simulate various node densities. The 681 implementation of OLSR is the default implementation 682 in *Qualnet 3.9.5*. Nodes are randomly activated to ran-683 domly choose destination node for data transmission. 684 The traffic of activated nodes are supplied from a CBR 685 source with a packet rate 0.5 p/s. And the movement fol-686 lows the random waypoint model as the default setting in 687 *Qualnet*. The maximum speeds considered are {0 m/ 688 s, 5 m/s, 10 m/s, 15 m/s, 20 m/s}, ranging from static topol-689 ogies, pedestrian speed to normal vehicle speed. The 690 MAC layer is set as the 802.11 MAC. Overall, we simulate 691 a total of 20 different network configurations. For each 692 configuration, 50 simulations with random generated seeds 693 are conducted to capture the statistical performance. 694

To study the effect of nodal mobility, we modified the 695 Qualnet simulator to eliminate packet losses due to colli-696 sions in the channel. We call this case *perfectMAC*. Figs. 697 5-8 demonstrate the performance of the analytical model 698 versus simulated performance when nodal mobility is the 699 only performance factor. It can be observed that the ana-700 lytical model provides a very good estimate compared to 701 the simulations. Because we take a conservative approach 702 in Section 6.3, the analytical model usually underestimates 703 the overhead. As expected, the difference between the 704 model and simulations decreases as node density increases, 705 as critical links become more dominance in the local con-706 nectivity graph or link changes at non-critical links brings 707 less effect on the sub-graph from critical links. 708



Fig. 5. perfectMac: N40.

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. perfectMac: N60.



Fig. 7. perfectMac: N80.



To evaluate the model in practical scenarios, we used the 709 original setting of *Qaulnet* in interference computation. In 710 this case, the real 802.11 MAC works under collisions 711 and back-offs. The simulation results are then illustrated 712 in Figs. 9–12. In general, the model still provides a good 713 approximation; however, the difference between the model 714 and simulations are more pronounced due to additional 715 effect from environmental mobility. Overall, we believe that 716 our model provides satisfactory performance in estimating 717 the routing overhead and brings deeper insight on how 718 mobility affect the routing overhead. 719

7. Conclusion

720 721

We evaluated analytically the interdependence between 721 routing overhead and the stability of the network topology 722 by characterizing the statistical distribution of topology 723 evolutions. The stability of topology can be modeled as 724 exponentially distributed with a parameter computed from 725



Fig. 9. Real Mac: N40.



Please cite this article in press as: X. Wu et al., Modeling of topology evolutions and implication on proactive ..., Comput. Commun. (2007), doi:10.1016/j.comcom.2007.10.023

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx



Fig. 11. Real Mac: N80.



11g. 12. Real Mac. 10100.

network configurations. Utilizing the proposed model, the
routing overhead of OLSR was analyzed and the results
showed that the proposed model gives good estimate of
routing overhead and meanwhile provides good insight on
how nodal mobility affects the routing overhead.

731 Appendix A

Theorem 3. Let two nodes move independently of each other 733 in a square of size $L \times L$ with speeds V_1 and V_2 . Let $E[V_*]$ 734 735 be the average relative speed between the two nodes, and let $\pi(x, y)$ be the distribution of the node location in steady-state. 736 If the transmission range $R \ll L$ and the location of a node at 737 time t is independent of its location at time $t + \Delta_t$, for some 738 small Δ_t , then the distribution F of new link arrivals for the 739 two node is approximately exponentially distributed with 740 parameter λ_l , where λ_l is given by 741

743
$$\lambda_l \approx 2E[V_*]R \int_0^L \int_0^L \pi^2(x, y) dx dy.$$
 (35)

The average time for the new link arrival is

$$E[F] = \frac{1}{\lambda_l} = \frac{1}{2E[V_*]R \int_0^L \int_0^L \pi^2(x, y) dx dy}$$
(36)
746

In particular, for random direction mobility model and 747 random waypoint mobility model, it has following 748 corollary. 749

Corollary 1. The distribution of new link arrival between two nodes for the random direction mobility model for $R \ll L$ is approximately exponentially (λ_{RD}) distributed, where λ_{RD} is 752

$$\lambda_{RD} \approx = \frac{2E[V_*]R}{L^2} \tag{37}$$

The expected time for the new link arrival is given by

$$E[F_{RD}] \approx \frac{L^2}{2E[V_*]R}.$$
(38)

Likewise, for the random waypoint mobility model we 758 have 759

$$\lambda_{RW} \approx \frac{2\omega E[V_*]R}{L^2},\tag{39}$$

$$E[F_{RW}] \approx \frac{L^2}{2\omega E[V_*]R},\tag{40}$$

where ω is the waypoint constant.

It is worthy of noting that such a point-to-point exponential modeling of new link formation has also been restricted to MANETs with restricted mobility [25]. 765

References

- S.-Y. Ni, Y.-C. Tseng, Y.-S. Chen, J.-P. Sheu, The broadcast storm problem in a mobile ad hoc network, in: ACM Mobicom 1999, pp. 151–162, New York, 1999.
- [2] J. Broch, D.A. Maltz, D.B. Johnson, Y. Hu, J. Jetcheva, A performance comparison of multi-hop wireless ad hoc network routing protocols, in: Mobicom'98, pp. 85–97, Dallas, 1998.
- [3] P. Johansson, T. Larsson, N. Hedman, B. Mielczarek, M. Degermark, Scenario-based performance analysis of routing protocols for mobile ad-hoc networks, in: Mobicom'99, pp. 195–206, Washington, August 1999.
- [4] F. Bai, N. Sadagopan, A. Helmy, Important: a framework to systematically analyze the impact of mobility on performance of routing protocols for adhoc networks, in: IEEE INFOCOM, San Francisco, 2003.
- [5] F. Bai, G. Bhaskara, A. Helmy, Building the blocks of protocol design and analysis: challenges and lessons learned from case studies on mobile ad hoc routing and micro-mobility protocols, ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review 34 (3) (2004) 57–70.
- [6] T.H. Clausen, G. Hansen, L. Christensen, G. Behrmann, The optimized link state routing protocol, evaluation through experiments and simulation, in: IEEE Symposium on Wireless Personal Mobile Communications, 2001.
- [7] N. Zhou, H. Wu, A.A. Abouzeid, Reactive routing overhead in networks with unreliable nodes, in: Mobicom'03, San Diego, September 2003.
- [8] N. Zhou, A.A. Abouzeid, Routing in ad hoc networks: a theoretical framework with practical implications, in: INFOCOM 2005, pp. 1240–1251, Miami, 2005.

Please cite this article in press as: X. Wu et al., Modeling of topology evolutions and implication on proactive ..., Comput. Commun. (2007), doi:10.1016/j.comcom.2007.10.023

744

755

762

X. Wu et al. / Computer Communications xxx (2007) xxx-xxx

- [9] T. Clausen, P. Jacquet, Optimized link state routing protocol
 (OLSR), IETF RFC 3626 (2003).
- [10] M. Grossglauser, D. Tse, Mobility increases the capacity of ad-hoc
 wireless network, in: Proceedings of Twentieth Annual Joint Confer ence of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies, vol. 3,
 pp. 1360–1369, April 2001.
- [11] M. Grossglauser, D. Tse, Mobility increases the capacity of adhoc
 wireless networks, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking 10 (4)
 (2002) 477–486.
- [12] A.E. Gammal, J. Mammen, B. Prabhaker, D. Shah, Throughput-delay
 trade-off in wireless networks, in: Infocom, vol. 1, pp. 464–475, 2004.
- [13] S. Jiang, D. He, J. Rao, A prediction-based link availability
 estimation for mobile ad hoc networks, in: IEEE Infocom, pp.
 1745–1752, April 2001.
- [14] S. Jiang, D. He, J. Rao, A prediction-based link availability
 estimation for routing metrics in manets, IEEE/ACM Transactions
 on Networking 13 (6) (2005) 1302–1312.
- [15] A.B. McDonald, T.F. Znati, A mobility-based framework for adaptive clustering in wireless ad hoc networks, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications 17 (8) (1999) 1466–1487.
- [16] C. Bettstetter, Mobility modeling in wireless network: categorization,
 smooth movement and border effects, ACM Mobile Computing and
 Communication Review 5 (2001) 55–67.
- [17] R. Guerin, Channel occupancy time distribution in a cellular ratio
 system, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology VT-35 (3)
 (1987) 89–99.
- [18] W. Navid, T. Camp, Stationary distributions for the random
 waypoint model, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing 3 (1)
 (2004) 99–108.
- [19] J. Yoon, M. Liu, B. Noble, Sound mobility models, in: ACM
 Mobicom 2003, San Diego, 2003.
- [20] J.-Y. Le Boudec, M. Vojnovic, Perfect simulation and stationarity of
 a class of mobility models, in: INFOCOM 2005, Miami, 2005.
- [21] D.P. Heyman, M.J. Sobel, Stochastic Models in Operations Research,
 vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1982.
- 831 [22] Y. Han, R.J. La, Path selection in mobile ad-hoc networks and
- distribution of path duration, in: IEEE Infocom, Barcelona, April 2006.
 R. Groenevelt, Stochastic models in mobile ad hoc networks, PhD
 thesis, INRIA, Sophia Antipolis, France, 2005.
- [24] M. Penrose, Random Geometric Graphs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
 - [25] X. Wu, H.R. Sadjadpour, J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, Link lifetime as a function of node mobility in manets with restricted mobility: modeling and applications, in: WiOpt 2007, April 2007.



837

838

839

840

842

Xianren Wu received the B.S. degree in communication engineering from Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing, China, in 1998 and the M.S. degree in information engineering from Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China, in 2001. He is currently a Ph.D candidate at University of California at Santa Cruz, with research topic on analytical modeling and performance analysis of mobile ad hoc networks. And he received best paper award in SPECTS 2007 conference.

His general research interest spans over mobile ad hoc networks, wireless
communications and coding theory.



Hamid R. Sadjadpour received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Sharif University of Technology with high honor and Ph.D. degree from University of Southern California in 1986, 1988 and 1996, respectively. After graduation, he joined AT&T as a member of technical staff, later senior technical staff member, and finally Principal member of technical staff at AT&T Lab. in Florham Park, NJ until 2001. In fall 2001, he joined University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) where he is now an Associate professor. He has served as technical

program committee member in numerous conferences and as chair of communication theory symposium at WirelessCom 2005, and chair of communication and information theory symposium at IWCMC 2006, 2007 and 2008 conferences. He has been also Guest editor of EURASIP on special issue on Multicarrier Communications and Signal Processing in 2003 and special issue on Mobile Ad Hoc Networks in 2006, and is currently Associate editor for Journal of Communications and Networks (JCN). He has published more than 90 publications. His research interests include space-time signal processing, scaling laws for wireless ad hoc networks, performance analysis of ad hoc and sensor networks, and MAC layer protocols for MANETs. He is the co-recipient of International Symposium on Performance Evaluation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems (SPECTS) 2007 best paper award. He holds more than 13 patents, one of them accepted in spectrum management of T1.E1.4 standard.



J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves holds the Jack Baskin Chair of Computer Engineering at the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC), and is a Principal Scientist at the Palo Alto Research Center (PARC). Prior to joining UCSC in 1993, he was a Center Director at SRI International (SRI) in Menlo Park, California. He has been a Visiting Professor at Sun Laboratories and a Principal of Protocol Design at Nokia.

Dr. Garcia-Luna-Aceves has published a book, more than 330 papers, and 24 U.S. patents. He has directed 25 Ph.D. theses and 20 M.S. theses since he joined UCSC in 1993. He has been the General Chair of the IEEE SECON 2005 Conference; Program Co-Chair of ACM MobiHoc 2002 and ACM Mobicom 2000; Chair of the ACM SIG Multimedia; General Chair of ACM Multimedia'93 and ACM SIGCOMM'88; and Program Chair of IEEE MULTIMEDIA '92, ACM SIGCOMM'87, and ACM SIGCOMM'86. He has served in the IEEE Internet Technology Award Committee, the IEEE Richard W. Hamming Medal Committee, and the National Research Council Panel on Digitization and Communications Science of the Army Research Laboratory Technical Assessment Board. He has been on the editorial boards of the IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, the Multimedia Systems Journal, and the Journal of High Speed Networks.

He is a Fellow of the IEEE and is listed in Marquis Who's Who in America and Who's Who in The World. He is the co-recipient of Best Paper Awards at the IEEE MASS 2007, SPECTS 2007, IFIP Networking 2007, and IEEE MASS 2005 conferences, and the Best Student Paper Award of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. He received the SRI International Exceptional-Achievement Award in 1985 for his work on multimedia communication and in 1989 for his work on routing algorithms.