

A SIMPLER WAY IS TO CHOOSE A RANDOM ELEMENT IN $A[p \dots r]$ AS PIVOT, SWAP THAT WITH $A[r]$, THEN PARTITION AS USUAL.

Rand Partition(A, p, r)

- 1.) $i \leftarrow \text{Rand}(p, r)$
- 2.) $A[i] \leftrightarrow A[r]$
- 3.) return Partition(A, p, r)

Rand Quicksort(A, p, r)

- 1.) if $p < r$
- 2.) $q \leftarrow \text{Rand Partition}(A, p, r)$
- 3.) RandQuicksort(A, p, q-1)
- 4.) RandQuicksort(A, q+1, r)

This is considered by some to be the algorithm of choice for sorting large inputs.

Ex

MaxMin(A, p, r) Finds THE MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM ELEMENTS IN THE SUBARRAY A[p..r].

min(m₁, m₂)

- 1.) if $m_1 < m_2$
- 2.) return m_1 ,
- 3.) return m_2

max(m₁, m₂) is similar. EACH DOES ONE COMPARISON.

MaxMin(A, p, r) (Pre: $p \leq r$)

- 1.) if $p = r$
- 2.) return (A[p], A[p])
- 3.) $q \leftarrow \lfloor \frac{p+r}{2} \rfloor$
- 4.) (m₁, M₁) \leftarrow MaxMin(A, q+1, r)
- 5.) (m₂, M₂) \leftarrow MaxMin(A, p, q)
- 6.) return (min(m₁, m₂), max(M₁, M₂))

LET T(n) DENOTE THE NUMBER OF COMPARISONS PERFORMED BY MaxMin(A, p, r) ON ARRAYS OF LENGTH n.

THEN

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n=1 \\ T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + 2 & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

EXERCISE:

SHOW THAT THE EXACT SOLUTION IS $T(n) = 2n - 2$.

THIS IS NO BETTER THAN THE OBVIOUS ITERATIVE ALGORITHM.

EXERCISE:

DESIGN A DIVIDE AND CONQUER ALGORITHM WHICH FINDS MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM IN EXACTLY $\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil - 2$ COMPARISONS. (HINT: SECTION 9.1 DESCRIBES AN ITERATIVE ALGORITHM TO DO THIS.)

EX. THE SELECTION PROBLEM

THE i^{TH} ORDER STATISTIC OF AN ARRAY $A[1 \dots n]$ CONSISTING OF n DISTINCT ELEMENTS IS THE i^{TH} SMALLEST ELEMENT. EQUIVALENTLY THE i^{TH} ORDER STATISTIC IS THE UNIQUE ELEMENT IN A WHICH IS GREATER THAN EXACTLY $i-1$ OTHER ELEMENTS (WHERE $1 \leq i \leq n$).

e.g. $i=1$ GIVES THE MINIMUM
 $i=n$ GIVES THE MAXIMUM

THE i^{TH} ORDER STATISTIC IS GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO EXACTLY i ELEMENTS OF A .

PROBLEM: GIVEN $A[1 \dots n]$, WHERE ALL ELEMENTS ARE DISTINCT, DETERMINE THE i^{TH} ORDER STATISTIC.

ONE APPROACH WOULD BE TO SORT $A[1 \dots n]$ THEN JUST RETURN $A[i]$. IN GENERAL THIS TAKES TIME $\Omega(n \lg n)$.

RandomSelect is a randomized algorithm which finds the i^{TH} ORDER STATISTIC IN LINEAR TIME, ON AVERAGE.

RECALL THAT $\text{RandPartition}(A, p, r)$ SPLITS THE SUBARRAY $A[p..r]$ INTO TWO SUBARRAYS SATISFYING

$$A[p..(q-1)] \leq A[q] \leq A[(q+1)..r]$$

$\text{RandSelect}(A, p, r, i)$ (Pre: $1 \leq i \leq r-p+1$)

- 1.) if $p=r$
- 2.) return $A[p]$
- 3.) $q \leftarrow \text{RandPartition}(A, p, r)$
- 4.) $k \leftarrow q-p+1$ // k is length of $A[p..q]$
- 5.) if $k=i$
- 6.) return $A[q]$
- 7.) else if $i < k$
- 8.) return $\text{RandSelect}(A, p, q-1, i)$
- 9.) else
- 10.) return $\text{RandSelect}(A, q+1, r, i-k)$

RandSelect is similar in some respects to both QuickSort and BinarySearch . Like QuickSort it randomly splits the subarray $A[p..r]$ in order to exploit a good average case run-time. Like BinarySearch it recurs on only one subarray. Unlike BinarySearch we seek not an index, but an array element.

LET $t(n)$ DENOTE THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF (ARRAY) COMPARISONS BY $\text{RandSelect}(A, i, n, i)$

ASSUME THAT EACH PERMUTATION OF $A[1 \dots n]$ IS EQUALLY LIKELY, HENCE THE RETURN VALUE OF RandPartition IS EQUALLY LIKELY TO BE ANY OF THE NUMBERS $1 \leq q \leq n$.

A PRIORI $t(n)$ DEPENDS ON i . WE'LL SEE THAT IN FACT IT DOESN'T. RECALL THAT RandPartition DOES $(n-1)$ COMPARISONS. THUS

$$t(n) = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^n \left((n-1) + P(i < q) t(q-1) + P(i > q) t(n-q) \right)}{n}$$

WHERE

$$P(i < q) = \frac{n-i}{n} \quad \text{AND} \quad P(i > q) = \frac{i-1}{n}$$

ARE THE PROBABILITIES THAT q IS IN THE RANGE $i < q \leq n$ AND $1 \leq q < i$ RESPECTIVELY. THUS

$$t(n) = (n-1) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{q=1}^n \left(\left(\frac{n-i}{n} \right) t(q-1) + \left(\frac{i-1}{n} \right) t(n-q) \right)$$

$$= (n-1) + \frac{1}{n^2} \left[(n-i) \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} t(q) + (i-1) \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} t(q) \right]$$

$$= (n-1) + \frac{1}{n^2} (n-i+i-1) \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} t(q)$$

$$\therefore t(n) = (n-1) + \left(\frac{n-1}{n^2}\right) \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} t(q)$$

Therefore $t(n)$ does not depend on i , as claimed earlier.

Observe that this recurrence is very similar to the one for the average run time of Quicksort.

EXERCISE

Show that $t(n) = O(n)$.

Obviously $t(n) \geq n-1 = \Omega(n)$. Prove that $t(n) = O(n)$ by induction on n .
i.e. show

$$\forall n \geq 1 : t(n) \leq 2n$$

induction Hypothesis : $\forall q \leq n-1 : t(q) \leq 2q$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore t(n) &\leq (n-1) + \left(\frac{n-1}{n^2}\right) \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} 2q \\ &= (n-1) + \left(\frac{n-1}{n^2}\right) \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \leq 2n \end{aligned}$$

↑
PROVE

EXERCISE

FIND THE EXACT SOLUTION TO THIS
RECURRENT.

ANSWER:

$$t(n) = (n-1) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{u_r \cdot (r-1)}{u_n \cdot n(n^2+n-1)} \right\}$$

where

$$u_n = \prod_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{k}{k^2+k+1} \right)$$