## A few Adversary Arguments

**Theorem** At least  $\binom{n}{2}$  adjacency questions are necessary (in worst case) to determine whether a graph *G* on *n* vertices is connected. (Recall by "adjacency" question we mean a question of the form: "is vertex *u* adjacent to vertex *v*".)

**Proof:** Consider any algorithm for this problem, and start it on an unspecified input graph G with n vertices. The Daemon's strategy is to answer no to any edge probe, unless that answer would prove that G is disconnected. More precisely, the Daemon maintains two edge sets X and Y, where initially Y

is empty and X contains all  $\binom{n}{2}$  edges in  $K_n$ , the complete graph on *n* vertices. The Daemon then performs the following algorithm when an edge *e* is probed:

Probe(e)1. if X - e is connected2.  $X \leftarrow X - e$ 3. answer No4. else5.  $Y \leftarrow Y + e$ 6. answer Yes

Here we abuse notation slightly and identify the edge set X with the subgraph of  $K_n$  consisting of the edges in X together with all vertices in  $K_n$  (and similarly for Y.) Observe that at all times  $Y \subseteq X$  and the set X - Y consists of precisely those edges of  $K_n$  which have not yet been probed. Furthermore both X and Y are consistent with the Daemon's entire sequence of answers since whenever the answer yes is given, that edge is added to Y and remains in X, while if no is given the corresponding edge is removed from X and is not added to Y. The following invariants are maintained over any sequence of edge probes.

- (a) The subgraph X is always connected. This is obvious from the construction.
- (b) If *X* contains a cycle, then none of it's edges belong to *Y*. **Proof:** Deleting an edge from that cycle would leave *X* connected, and so that edge could not have been added to *Y*.
- (c) It follows from (b) that *Y* is acyclic.
- (d) If  $Y \neq X$  then Y is disconnected. **Proof:** Assume, to get a contradiction, that Y is connected. Then being acyclic Y is a tree. Since  $Y \neq X$ , there exists an edge  $e \in X$  with  $e \notin Y$ . If e were added to Y it would form a cycle with some of the other edges in Y. (This is a well known and obvious property of trees: joining vertices by a new edge creates a unique cycle.) Since  $Y \subseteq X$ , that cycle is also contained in X. In other words X contains a cycle consisting of e together with some edges in Y. This contradicts remark (b) above. The only way to avoid this contradiction is to conclude that Y is disconnected.

Now suppose the algorithm halts and returns a verdict (connected/disconnected) after probing fewer than  $\binom{n}{2}$  edges. Then at least one edge of  $K_n$  was not probed, hence  $X - Y \neq \emptyset$ , and therefore  $Y \neq X$ . Now (d) tells us that Y is disconnected, and by (a) X is connected. Since both graphs are

consistent with the Daemon's answers, the algorithm cannot be considered correct. If the algorithm says *G* is connected, then the Daemon can claim G = Y, while if the algorithm says *G* is disconnected, the Daemon may claim that G = X. Thus any correct algorithm solving this problem must probe all

$$\binom{n}{2}$$
 potential edges. ///

**Exercise** Show that at least  $\binom{n}{2}$  'adjacency' questions are necessary to determine whether a graph G

on *n* vertices is acyclic.

**Exercise** Let  $b = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5$  be a bit string of length 5, i.e.  $x_i \in \{0,1\}$  for  $1 \le i \le 5$ . Consider the problem of determining whether *b* contains three consecutive zeros, i.e. whether or not *b* contains the substring 111. We restrict our attention to those algorithms whose only allowable operation is to peek at a bit. Obviously 5 peeks are sufficient. A decision tree argument provides the (useless) fact that at least one peek is necessary.

- a. Use an adversary argument to show that 4 peeks are necessary in general.
- b. Design an algorithm which solves the problem using only 4 peeks in worst case. Express your algorithm as a decision tree.