

Homework 3 Solutions, CS 132, Winter 2007

January 25, 2007

1. (10.10) Show that if $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is computable and strictly increasing, then the range of f (or the set of strings representing elements of the range of f) is recursive.

We can decide if a given y is in the range of f by computing $f(0), f(1), f(2)$, etc. until either y appears (in which case we accept) or a number larger than y does (in which case y will never appear, and we reject).

Nondeterministic Solution (by Ben Ackerman-Hunt): Let T be the TM that computes f . T' is a two-tape NTM that decides membership in the range of f . T' works as follows:

1. Copy input to Tape 2
2. Delete a nondeterministically chosen number of 1's from the end of the input on Tape 1
3. Run T on Tape 1 and halt iff T accepts

Note: Since f is increasing we have $f(x) \geq x$.

2. (10.27) Show that if S is uncountable and T is countable, then $S - T$ is uncountable.

Assume there exists a countable set T_1 and an uncountable set S_1 such that $T_1 - S_1$ is countable. We showed this two different ways in class:

Method One: Then $T_1 \cap S_1$ is also countable, since it is a subset of T_1 . Countable sets are closed under union, so $(S_1 - T_1) \cup (S_1 \cap T_1) = S_1$ is also countable, making S_1 both countable and uncountable, a contradiction.

Method Two: $(S_1 - T_1) \cup T_1$ is countable since countable sets are closed under union, but $S_1 \subseteq (S_1 - T_1) \cup T_1$. But a countable set can't have an uncountable subset, so this is a contradiction.

3. (10.28) Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of all rational numbers or fractions, negative as well as nonnegative. Show that \mathcal{Q} is countable by describing explicitly a bijection from \mathcal{N} to \mathcal{Q} .

Method 1: To simplify this proof we will break \mathcal{Q} into a countably infinite number of sets each denoted Q_i , where for some integer i , Q_i is the set of all rational numbers q such that $i \leq q < i + 1$. We know by theorem 10.13 that the countably infinite union of countable sets is countable; so if all Q_i s are countable, then so is,

$$Q = \bigcup_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Q_i.$$

Now we must show all Q_i s are countable. We begin by enumerating Q_0 in order by increasing denominator (omitting any fraction that is not fully reduced to avoid duplication).

$$Q_0 = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots\}$$

There is a natural bijection from the i th element of Q_0 to $i \in \mathcal{N}$, so Q_0 is countable. Now for any integer i we define $Q_i = \{i + q | q \in Q_0\}$. This shows that \mathcal{Q} is countable, but if we wish to make

the bijection explicit we can do so by the same method used for theorem 10.13 (the “snake” proof): we arrange the sets vertically in the order $Q_0, Q_1, Q_{-1}, Q_2, Q_{-2}, \dots$ and traverse their diagonals in the spiral pattern on page 392.

Other (Simpler) Methods: See associated handout.

4. (10.30) *Let S be the set of all infinite sequences of 0's and 1's. Show directly using a diagonal argument that S is uncountable.*

To derive a contradiction, assume S is a countable set with elements s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots . Notationally we will denote the j th bit of the i th sequence as $s_{i,j}$. Now consider the sequence s' such that $s'_j \neq s_{j,j}$. By assumption S contains *all* binary sequences including s' ; but by definition, for any i , s' differs from the i th sequence in S on its i th bit, and so s' cannot be in S . This is a contradiction, so we can conclude that S is not be countable.

5. (10.17 extra credit) *Find a context sensitive grammar generating the language $\{ss \mid s \in \{a, b\}^+\}$.*

One way to get the grammar is by modifying the unrestricted grammar that is given in the book to make it context sensitive. In essence F produces strings “nondeterministically” and M duplicates them. See pg. 374 for more details

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow FM \\ F &\rightarrow FaA \\ F &\rightarrow FbB \\ Aa &\rightarrow aA \\ Ab &\rightarrow bA \\ Ba &\rightarrow aB \\ Bb &\rightarrow bB \\ AM &\rightarrow Ma \\ BM &\rightarrow Mb \\ F &\rightarrow \Lambda \\ M &\rightarrow \Lambda \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is nearly fits the context sensitive restriction that $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$ for a production $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$. The only problem is that F and M both produce 0-length strings, and so we have to replace them. Consequently we introduce F_a, F_b and M_a, M_b that both each produce the their respective subscript. This yields the following context sensitive grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow F_a M_a \mid F_b M_b \\ F_a &\rightarrow F_a a A \mid F_b a B & F_b &\rightarrow F_a b A \mid F_b b B \\ Aa &\rightarrow aA & Bb &\rightarrow bB \\ Ab &\rightarrow bA & Ba &\rightarrow aB \\ A M_a &\rightarrow M_a a & B M_a &\rightarrow M_b a \\ A M_b &\rightarrow M_a b & B M_b &\rightarrow M_b b \\ F_a &\rightarrow a & F_b &\rightarrow b \\ M_a &\rightarrow a & M_b &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$