

HW #2, CMPS 130, spring '08

100pts

15

3.2) → Part e if r_1 & r_2 don't represent equivalent languages,
 then
 $(r_1 + r_2)^* r_1 r_2 (r_1 + r_2)^* + r_2^* r_1^*$
 $((r_1 + r_2)^* - r_2^* r_1^*) + r_2^* r_1^*$
 $(r_1 + r_2)^* r_1 r_2 (r_1 + r_2)^*$ can form any combination of r_1 and r_2 except $r_2^* r_1^*$.
 $(r_1 + r_2)^*$

Otherwise, if r_1 and r_2 are equivalent regular expressions,
 then obviously

$$r_2^* r_1^* = (r_1 + r_2)^* r_1 r_2 (r_1 + r_2)^* = (r_1 + r_2)^*$$

So, for any arbitrary regexes we have

$$(r_1 + r_2)^* r_1 r_2 (r_1 + r_2)^* + r_2^* r_1^* = (r_1 + r_2)^* \quad \text{///}$$

15

9 part i) Note that this language is similar to

$$L = \{ \text{strings containing substring } X \} \quad (3)$$

$$= (0+1)^* X (0+1)^* \quad (4)$$

except that there's two substrings ("11" and "010") which could appear in either order.

$$L = \{ \text{strings containing both 11 and 010 as substrings} \} \quad (5)$$

$$= \left((0+1)^* 11 (0+1)^* 010 (0+1)^* \right) + \left((0+1)^* 010 (0+1)^* 11 (0+1)^* \right) \quad (6)$$

15

17 part e)

(i) Solving this problem is facilitated by doing state-elimination from the DFA.

State	RegExp	Description
I	$(ab + ba)^*$	number of as and bs are balanced pairwise
II	$(ab + ba)^* a$	one extra a
III	$(ab + ba)^* b$	one extra b
IV	$(ab + ba)^* (aa + bb) (a + b)^*$	unbalanced

4) 3.46 b) 20

$$L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

= {strings which are some number of 0's followed by the same number of 1's}

Claim: any two strings in the set $S = \{0^m \mid m \geq 1\}$ are distinguishable w/ respect to L

Proof:

Let j and k be distinct positive integers.
($j, k \geq 1$ and $j \neq k$)

0^j and 0^k are members of S

$0^j 1^j \in L$ but because $j \neq k$ we know

$$0^k 1^j \notin L$$

so $0^k, 0^j$ are distinguishable w/ respect to L

because the string 1^j (and 1^k) distinguish them w/ respect to L

So the claim is proven and we have shown any pair of strings in S are distinguishable with respect to L .

It follows that L is not a regular language

///

20

5) Show that if L is a non-regular language and F a finite language, then $L - F$ is non-regular (where $-$ denote the set minus).

Hint: Do a proof by contradiction and use the closure properties of regular languages.

Proof:

Let L be any non-regular language
and let F be any finite language

To work towards a contradiction,
assume that $L - F$ is a regular language

Because F is a finite language,
 F is a regular language; as is any subset of F .

In particular, $F \cap L$, which is a subset of F
must be regular.

~~$$(L - F) \cup (F \cap L) = L$$~~

$$(L - F) \cup (F \cap L) = L \text{ because } L - F = L - (L \cap F)$$

Now, because regular languages are closed under union,
we come to the conclusion that L is regular.

This is a contradiction because L is a non-regular language by hypothesis.

Then it must be that our assumption that $L-F$ is regular must be incorrect

Thus we have shown

If L is a non-regular language and F is a finite language, then $L-F$ is non-regular.

6) 15

